UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,075	09/09/2002	David G. Atkins	ATKINS1	6813
1444 7590 09/06/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER	
			BOWMAN, AMY HUDSON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
77.10.10.10.19, 20.2001.2002			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/889,075	ATKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Amy H. Bowman	1635	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20-48. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 49-58. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>59-62</u>. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. <a> Other: _ /J. E. Angell/

Primary Examiner, AU1635 Amy H Bowman

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/889,075

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims introduce new limitations that have not been examined, and thus would require new consideration. Specifically, the amendment to the claims reciting "peripheral" migration in claim 52 has not been considered and may raise issues of new matter. Furthermore, the amendments to the claims would not overcome the rejection of claims 49-58 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, as explained below.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims introduce new limitations that have not been examined, and thus would require new consideration. Specifically, the amendment to the claims reciting "peripheral" migration in claim 52 has not been considered and may raise issues of new matter.

Applicants arguments are in view of the amendment which has not been entered for the reasons indicated.

Furthermore, the amendments to the claims would not overcome the rejection of claims 49-58 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph. Applicant asserts that the examiner agreed to claims directed to topical administration in the telephone interview on 7/30/07. The examiner agreed that the instant specification offers support for topical administration and recitation of this delivery method would not constitute new matter. However, the teaching in the instant specification, page 11, lines 24-28, regarding topical administration is prophetic and would not overcome the scope of enablement rejection. As explained in the office actions mailed on 8/2/06 and 4/17/07, applicant has demonstrated methods of directly applying the DNAzyme to the desired site surgically or through a stent, which is not commensurate in scope with the topical delivery recited in the instant claims.

Furthermore, applicant has not demonstrated a correlation between delivering the DNAzyme in vivo and treating any condition associated with cell proliferation or migration in a subject or wherin the condition is selected from the group consisting of: post-angioplasty restenosis, vein graft failure, hypertension, transplant coronary disease, and complications associated with atherosclerosis or peripheral vascular disease.

As explained in the final rejection mailed on 4/17/07, this application contains claims 59-62 that are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.